

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR PLEASANT VIEW CITY
PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD
August 4, 2022**

[Planning Commission 8.4.22 - YouTube](#)

MEMBERS PRESENT

Andy Nef
Dean Stokes
Jeff Bolingbroke
Chad Kotter

EXCUSED

Julie Farr
Manya Stolorow
Sean Wilkinson
David Park

VISITORS

None noted

MINUTES PREPARED BY

Brooke Smith, MMC

MINUTES APPROVED

Approved August 3, 2023

Commission Chair, Andy Nef, called the meeting to order at 6 pm

OPENING PRAYER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

On the evening of August 4, 2020, the Pleasant View Planning Commission gathered for their meeting, chaired by Andy Nef. The Chair called the meeting to order at six o'clock and extended a warm welcome to everyone in attendance.

Commissioner Kotter was invited to deliver the opening prayer, reading, or expression of thought. The prayer expressed gratitude for the opportunity to convene as a commission and as a city, acknowledging the blessings that brought each individual to the meeting. Kotter expressed appreciation for the freedoms enjoyed in the country, which made the gathering possible. He also acknowledged the sacrifices of those who paved the way for such meetings to take place and expressed gratitude for their country and the beautiful area they inhabited. He sought guidance for their discussions on the laid-out agenda and hoped to share their thoughts and perspectives collectively.

Following the prayer, the Chair expressed gratitude and proceeded to discuss the recognition of the agenda for the evening. He then invited anyone with a conflict of interest to declare it after reviewing the agenda. No declarations were made.

ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS:

Discussion/Decision: Consider a Conditional Use Permit to allow a car wash use at 500 W 2700 N. The proposed site is currently under review for a private commercial subdivision, and this proposed conditional use and site plan are contingent on the subdivision meeting final approval recordation.

The meeting then proceeded to the administrative item. The Chair noted that the Petitioner was not present and assumed they were aware of the requirement to attend. A decision to proceed with the action or table it was left to the discretion of the commission. It was proposed to move to discussion item two temporarily and return to item one later, if necessary.

MOTION

A motion was made to table discussion session number one and proceed to item number two, with the hope that the Petitioner would eventually join. A second was received, and the motion was put to a vote, resulting in a unanimous agreement.

Discussion/Decision: Review and Approval of the Planning Commission Meeting minutes from March 3, 2022, April 7, 2022, and June 2, 2022.

The commission moved on to the second agenda item, which involved reviewing and approving the planning commission meeting minutes from March 3, April 7, and June 2.

The Chair made an effort to be more precise by mentioning the names of the individuals involved in the motions and seconds. This was done to address audio problems encountered in previous meetings, where names were not clearly captured. The purpose of reviewing the minutes was to address any highlighted items or necessary changes that may have been missed before.

MARCH 3, 2022

The commission began with the minutes from March 3 and sought input from the attendees regarding any issues or highlighted items.

APRIL 7, 2022

They then moved on to the April 7 minutes, where they discussed the absence of a conflict declaration and the consent agenda. Some uncertainty arose regarding the individuals involved in specific motions, but efforts were made to recall the details. There was a mention of blank spaces and whether they needed attention or not. The commission proceeded to discuss various motions and approvals documented in the minutes, striving to remember the correct individuals involved.

JUNE 2, 2022

The minutes from June 2 were also examined, including the public hearing and motions related to a site plan for a warehouse. Some participants were uncertain about their presence during certain motions and sought clarification from their notes or colleagues.

MOTION

Eventually, a motion was made to accept the March 3, April 7, and June 2 minutes with the outlined amendments, and it was seconded. The motion was passed unanimously, concluding the discussion on the minutes.

COMMISSIONER COMMUNICATION

During the discussion, the commissioners shared various communications. The commissioner expressed gratitude to those present. Staff members discussed communication-related to the fall conference, urging interested individuals to register and providing details about the conference dates and theme. The staff mentioned that the city would cover registration costs but not hotel expenses, offering mileage reimbursement instead.

The staff requested the agenda to be emailed for approval. The meeting progressed to inquire about any additional updates from staff or the Commission. A community service project involving youth aimed at preventing bathroom vandalism was discussed. The project involved coordinating with schools and religious organizations.

An event at the park was mentioned, including food trucks and live entertainment. The City Council's approval of the Commission's recommendations on assisted living facilities was highlighted, with some modifications made. The importance of follow-up and communication with the Commission was emphasized.

The upcoming transportation master plan was discussed, along with partnerships on roadway projects.

MOTION

The meeting concluded with a motion to table administrative item number one for the next meeting, which was seconded and passed unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned.